Family Name	Vick
Given Name	Ms D
Person ID	1286014
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Agent Company / Organisation	Chorlton Planning Ltd
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1286014_SiteMap.pdf PFE1286014_Response.pdf
Family Name	Vick
Given Name	Ms D
Person ID	1286014
Title	Our Vision
Agent Company / Organisation	Chorlton Planning Ltd
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1286014_Response.pdf PFE1286014_SiteMap.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Sound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted general comment - Please add any comments not addressed above	The vision of the PFE is sti II the same as in 2016 but the chances of implementing it are greatly reduced.  See section 2 of attachment.
Family Name	Vick
Given Name	Ms D
Person ID	1286014
Title	Our Strategic Objectives
Agent Company / Organisation	Chorlton Planning Ltd
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1286014_SiteMap.pdf PFE1286014_Response.pdf
Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for	Create neighbourhoods of choice

our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:	
Soundness - Positively prepared?	NA
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	See attached statement
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	See attached statement
Family Name	Vick
Given Name	Ms D
Person ID	1286014
Title	Our Spatial Strategy
Agent Company / Organisation	Chorlton Planning Ltd
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1286014_Response.pdf PFE1286014_SiteMap.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Sound

	Places for Everyone Representation 2021
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted general comment - Please add	1.8 Attempting to rebalance the housing and employment markets in favour of the northern
any comments not addressed above	areas is welcomed but this cannot be achieved if more suburban family housing sites are not
	made available, especially sites for upper market housing.
	See section 2 of attachment.
Family Name	Vick
Given Name	Ms D
Person ID	1286014
Title	JP-S 1 Sustainable Development
Agent Company / Organisation	Chorlton Planning Ltd
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1286014_SiteMap.pdf PFE1286014_Response.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	NA
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	See attached statement
Redacted modification	See attached statement
- Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters	In addition, the site shown on the attached plan was included as part of a larger strategic housing site in the previous GMSF - Alderney Farm/Spinners Way, Moorside, Oldham. This site on the edge of the Green Belt is an attractive location for family housing. It was deleted unexpectedly from the PFE and we would request that the site be reinstated as a strategic housing site to help to balance the housing market within Oldham.
you have identified	

above.

Family Name	Vick
Given Name	Ms D
Person ID	1286014
Title	JP-H 1 Scale Distribution and Phasing of New Housing Development
Agent Company / Organisation	Chorlton Planning Ltd
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1286014_Response.pdf PFE1286014_SiteMap.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	NA
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	See attached statement
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	
Family Name	Vick
Given Name	Ms D
Person ID	1286014
Title	JP-H 2 Affordability of New Housing
Agent Company / Organisation	Chorlton Planning Ltd
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1286014_SiteMap.pdf PFE1286014_Response.pdf

Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Sound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Family Name	Vick
Given Name	Ms D
Person ID	1286014
Title	JP-H 3 Type Size and Design of New Housing
Agent Company / Organisation	Chorlton Planning Ltd
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1286014_Response.pdf PFE1286014_SiteMap.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Sound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted general comment - Please add	1.2 There is too much emphasis on channelling new housing into high density developments
any comments not addressed above	in city and town centres at the expense of suburban sites that are more suited to family
	homes.
	1.3 More high density housing in already built up city and town centre is not ideal for
	growing families.
	1.4 The lack of available suburban housing sites will drive up house prices widening the gap
	between inner area and suburban housing prices
	1.5 In a democratic society the choice of home type and location is a key principle of town
	and country planning
	1.6 To balance the housing offer in the districts more suburban sites are needed and this will
	need the valence of many Onesa Delt -!t

need the release of more Green Belt sites.

	Also see section 4 in attachments.
Family Name	Vick
Given Name	Ms D
Person ID	1286014
Title	JP-H 4 Density of New Housing
Agent Company / Organisation	Chorlton Planning Ltd
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1286014_SiteMap.pdf PFE1286014_Response.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	NA
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Too restrictive
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Need flexibility, especially with upper market housing where lower density may be appropriate
Family Name	Vick
Given Name	Ms D
Person ID	1286014
Title	Other Comments
Agent Company / Organisation	Chorlton Planning Ltd
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1286014_SiteMap.pdf

Soundness - Positively prepared?	NA
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Family Name	Vick
Given Name	Ms D
Person ID	1286014
Title	JP-G 10 Green Belt
Agent Company / Organisation	Chorlton Planning Ltd
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1286014_SiteMap.pdf PFE1286014_Response.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Sound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted general comment - Please add	1.7 A foll review of the Metropolitan Green Belt is needed as part of the PFE. This is likely
any comments not addressed above	to identify many smaller sites on the urban fringes that already have infrastructure in place
	and can come forward at an early date. Both the PFE and the Local Plans must review Green
	Belt boundaries now to provide confidence in the permanence of the Green Belt over the next
	thirty years.
	Also see section 5 in attachments.
Family Name	Vick
Given Name	Ms D
Person ID	1286014
Title	JP-J 1 Supporting Long Term Economic Growth
Agent Company / Organisation	Chorlton Planning Ltd

Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1286014_Response.pdf PFE1286014_SiteMap.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Sound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted general comment - Please add any comments not addressed above	3.1 We would support the general approach to the allocation of employment sites across
	Greater Manchester, in particular the development proposed in the Northern Gateway and the
	North East Growth Corridor. Employment sites at Meek Street, Higginshaw in Oldham and
	Stake Hill on the Rochdale/Oldham boundary are particularly welcomed.
Family Name	Vick
Given Name	Ms D
Person ID	1286014
Title	Other Comments
Agent Company / Organisation	Chorlton Planning Ltd
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1286014_SiteMap.pdf PFE1286014_Response.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Sound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted general comment - Please add any comments not	Additional Sites
	6.0 Potential Development Sites
addressed above	6.1 This response is a general response to the overall PFE 202 I consultation
	6.2 We have submitted a number of responses on behalf of individual landowners. These sites

are potential development sites. Plans of these sites are included in the uploaded documents

with each response. These represent a selection of typical sites that would be considered as

part of a comprehensive Green Belt/Protected Open Land review.

6.3 Further information can be provided if required.